


Case Report

Distal femoral physeal fracture-dislocation (Salter-Harris-I) in a newborn: A case report

Riya Samanta¹, Nafisa Shakir Batta¹, K. S. V. Abhinetri¹, Vikas Gupta² 

¹Department of Radiology, Mahajan Imaging, Safdarjung Hospital Sports Injury Centre, ²Department of Orthopedics, Safdurjung Hospital, New Delhi, India.



*Corresponding author:

Riya Samanta,
Department of Radiology,
Mahajan Imaging, Safdarjung
Hospital Sports Injury Centre,
New Delhi, India.

samanta.ria1011@gmail.com

Received: 25 March 2024
Accepted: 08 September 2024
EPub Ahead of Print: 04 December 2024
Published: 21 December 2024

DOI
10.25259/IJMSR_11_2024

Quick Response Code:



ABSTRACT

Physeal fractures in newborns are relative rare injuries, most often occurring as a result of birth trauma. Salter-Harris fractures of the distal femur should be treated as knee dislocation and, hence, as a medical emergency. A high index of suspicion for vascular injury should be present. Reduction, stabilization, and, if necessary, vascular repair should be carried out at the earliest to avoid permanent damage/deformity. We report a case of distal femoral physeal fracture and dislocation in a 2-day old neonate presenting with a swollen knee and decreased motion of the right lower extremity shortly after delivery.

Keywords: Distal femur, Fracture, Salter-Harris, Physeal injuries, Newborn

INTRODUCTION

The distal femoral growth plate or the physis is responsible for the majority of the growth of the femur. Most periarticular injuries in the pediatric population involve the growth plates since the physes are the weaker link in the pediatric joints, as compared to the ligaments.^[1]

The distal femoral physeal injuries are associated with a high incidence of long-term complications such as growth disturbances, with eventual leg length discrepancy and/or deformities. The potential for development of complications is related to the child's age at the time of trauma.^[1] Therefore, these injuries should be treated as emergencies, and prompt surgical management should be instituted to prevent the onset of complications such as permanent damage/deformity. Imaging modalities such as X-ray, ultrasonography (USG), computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) aid to accurately diagnosing and characterize these injuries in the newborn.

Salter-Harris classification

The Salter-Harris classification^[2] [Figure 1 and Table 1] has described five types of physeal injuries. Studies have shown that displaced fractures have a significantly higher growth disturbance rate than undisplaced fractures.^[3]

CASE REPORT


A 2-day-old neonate presented with a swollen knee and decreased motion of the right lower extremity shortly after a difficult vaginal breech delivery which involved forced traction of the limb. The prenatal course was unremarkable, and the child was born at term. At the presentation,

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

©2024 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Indian Journal of Musculoskeletal Radiology

Table 1: Salter-Harris classification for physeal injuries.


Sr. No.	Type	Description
1.	Salter-Harris I	Fracture plane passes through the growth plate/physis
2.	Salter-Harris II	Fracture plane courses through the growth plate/physis and exits through the metaphysis
3.	Salter-Harris III	Fracture plane courses through the growth plate/physis and exits through the epiphysis.
4.	Salter-Harris IV	Fracture extends from the metaphysis - growth plate/physis and down to the epiphysis.
5.	Salter-Harris V	Compression and damage to the growth plate/physis secondary to a crush injury.

**Figure 1:** Illustration of Salter-Harris classification for physeal injuries. Case courtesy of Matt Skalski, Radiopaedia.org, rID: 27144.

the child was afebrile. Clinical examination revealed warmth and tenderness at the right knee joint. The range of motion was restricted at the knee joint but normal at the hip joint. The popliteal and distal pulses were palpable. There was no evident neurological deficit.

Frontal and lateral radiographs of the right knee [Figure 2] revealed a loss of congruence between the distal femoral epiphysis and metaphysis, and hence, a Salter-Harris type I injury was inferred. As the femoral epiphyseal ossification center was very small, it was difficult to determine if the normal anatomical relationship between the distal femoral epiphysis and the tibia was maintained or not. Commenting on absence of a definite epiphyseal injury was also difficult.

Subsequently, MRI of the distal thigh and knee was performed [Figure 3]. MRI revealed a distal femoral physeal fracture (Salter-Harris-I) with lateral dislocation of the femoral metaphysis relative to the epiphysis. The dislocated metaphyseal end of the distal femur was seen to be projecting into the subcutaneous fat resulting in a focal bulge of the overlying skin. The distal femoral epiphysis (cartilaginous femoral condyles) was in congruence with the proximal tibia with preserved knee joint space. No

**Figure 2:** Two-day-old neonate with Salter-Harris I fracture of the distal right femur. (a) Frontal and (b) lateral radiographs of the right knee revealed loss of congruence between the distal femoral epiphysis (arrow) and metaphysis (M).

significant joint effusion was noted. Marked surrounding soft-tissue/intramuscular hemorrhage and edema – predominantly in the vastus lateralis and intermedius were noted. Visualized vascular flow voids were relatively preserved.

The child was subsequently taken to orthopedic surgery for open reduction and pinning of the distal femoral fracture. The distal femoral physeal fracture was successfully reduced anatomically and fixated with two laterally placed pins.

Follow-up radiograph was performed a month after surgery [Figure 4] which revealed anatomic alignment of the femur following reduction and pinning. Extensive periosteal reaction and new bone formation were noted in the distal femur. Pin removal was done after 2 days of the post-operative radiograph.

A radiograph was obtained a week following pin removal, which revealed normal alignment of the bones [Figure 5] and extensive distal femoral periosteal reaction. Another radiograph was obtained around 1 year later, which revealed normal outline and alignment of the bones [Figure 6] without any deformity.

DISCUSSION

Fracture-separation of the distal femoral epiphysis is a relatively uncommon injury in neonates but is known to occur as a




Figure 3: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the right knee revealed distal femoral physal fracture (Salter-Harris-I) with lateral dislocation of the femoral metaphysis (M). (a and b), Axial PDFS, (c-g) coronal PDFS and (h) sagittal T1-weighted MR images revealed marked soft tissue/muscle edema in the anterior thigh distally (dashed arrow), projection of the dislocated metaphyseal end of the distal femur into the subcutaneous fat (asterisk), causing a focal bulge in the overlying skin and preserved congruence of distal femoral epiphysis (cartilaginous femoral condyles) (arrow) with the proximal tibia (T).




Figure 4: (a) Frontal and (b) lateral follow-up radiographs, a month later, revealed anatomic alignment of the femur following reduction and pinning (arrow). There was extensive periosteal reaction and new bone formation (asterisk) in the distal femur.

complication of difficult vaginal delivery, particularly in cases of breech presentation.^[4] Physal fracture of the distal femur in a newborn with complete distraction has rarely been reported in literature.

Salter-Harris-type I injury is when the fracture plane courses through the growth plate, resulting in separation between the metaphysis and epiphysis and leading to an increased width of the physis/growth plate.

Physal fractures in newborns can often be misdiagnosed as dislocations or osteomyelitis/septic arthritis due to limb



Figure 5: (a) Frontal and (b) lateral radiographs obtained after a week following pin removal revealed normal alignment of the bones with extensive periosteal reaction (asterisk) in the distal femur.

swelling and tenderness [Table 2].^[5] As the aforementioned conditions are uncommon at birth, a diagnosis of Salter-Harris type I fracture should be strongly considered. The distal femoral epiphyseal ossification takes place at about 38 weeks of gestation. Plain radiographs are primarily used for evaluating these physal fractures after 38 weeks of gestation and typically show physal widening with metaphyseal-epiphyseal dislocation.^[4] However, a radiographic diagnosis may be challenging in preterm neonates as the epiphyseal

Table 2: Differential diagnosis for swollen and tender knee in a newborn.

Sr. No.	Differential Diagnosis	Differentiating features
1.	Osteomyelitis	Soft tissue swelling/edema/abscess Bone marrow edema Regional osteopenia Periosteal reaction Focal osteolysis/cortical loss/loss of trabecular bony architecture
2.	Septic arthritis	Fever Locoregional redness and increased temperature Imaging: early: Normal bony alignment, juxta-articular osteopenia, joint effusion with/without debris and joint capsular edema. Late: Loss of joint space, cartilage and bone destruction and eventually, ankylosis.
3.	Knee dislocation	Loss of alignment between femur and tibia. Preserved femoral epi-metaphyseal alignment




Figure 6: (a) Frontal and (b) lateral radiographs obtained a year later - revealed normal outline and alignment of the bones, that is, the distal femoral metaphysis (M) and epiphysis (E) without any deformity.

ossification center is non-ossified and, hence, not visible yet. In such cases, USG may be helpful in providing a detailed image of the cartilaginous epiphysis and periosteal reaction associated with the fracture.^[4] USG has previously been reported to be helpful in the detection of neonatal physeal injuries/fractures at the proximal and distal humerus as well as proximal femur and is comparable in accuracy to MRI, although operator dependent.^[5]

MRI is a helpful imaging modality in accurately diagnosing physeal injuries and has the benefit of being radiation free.^[6] The other advantages of MRI include better definition of the fracture extent and the degree of dislocation/distraction, identification of occult fractures, and demonstration of other associated injuries such as ligamentous lesions. However, it may be challenging to assess critically ill patients, and sedation may be required to acquire images of good quality.

Reduction and fixation/pinning are the management of choice in Salter-Harris-I injuries. Follow-up radiographs can confirm the restitution of alignment and aid assessment of bone healing as evidenced by the presence of periosteal reaction as well as new bone formation at the site of trauma.^[7] Despite adequate treatment, a possibility of poor surgical outcome and debilitating sequelae exists; complications include permanent restriction of range of motion, angular deformity, arrested growth, and subsequent limb length discrepancy.^[8] Therefore, a proper evaluation with serial radiographs is always suggested during follow-up.

CONCLUSION

Any child presenting with a tender and swollen limb in the first few days of life should be evaluated with the available imaging to rule out a possibility of physeal injury and should be differentiated from its mimics by means of appropriate clinical and radiological examinations. Despite its rarity and diagnostic dilemma, Salter-Harris-I physeal injury in newborns has good outcomes, with osseous remodeling and early recovery abetted by prompt as well as accurate diagnosis in conjunction with appropriate orthopedic surgical management.

Ethical approval

Institutional Review Board approval is not required.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for manuscript preparation

The authors confirm that there was no use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for assisting in the writing or editing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

REFERENCES

1. McKenna SM, Hamilton SW, Barker SL. Salter Harris fractures of the distal femur: Learning points from two cases compared. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep* 2013;1:2324709613500238.
2. Salter R, Harris W. Injuries involving the epiphyseal plate. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1963;45:587-662.

3. Young EY, Stans AA. Distal femoral physeal fractures. *J Knee Surg* 2018;31:486-9.
4. Eliahou R, Simanovsky N, Hiller N, Simanovsky N. Fracture-separation of the distal femoral epiphysis in a premature neonate. *J Ultrasound Med* 2006;25:1603-5.
5. Jain R, Bielski RJ. Fracture of lower femoral epiphysis in an infant at birth: A rare obstetrical injury. *J Perinatol* 2001;21:550-2.
6. White PG, Mah JY, Friedman L. Magnetic resonance imaging in acute physeal injuries. *Skeletal Radiol* 1994;23:627-31.
7. Rogers LF, Poznanski AK. Imaging of epiphyseal injuries. *Radiology* 1994;191:297-308.
8. Arkader A, Warner WC Jr., Horn BD, Shaw RN, Wells L. Predicting the outcome of physeal fractures of the distal femur. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2007;27:703-8.

How to cite this article: Samanta R, Batta NS, Abhinetri KS, Gupta V. Distal femoral physeal fracture-dislocation (Salter-Harris-I) in a newborn: A case report. *Indian J Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2024;6:120-4. doi: 10.25259/IJMSR_11_2024